

Performance Standards

The performance standards for the local performance indicators are:

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

The LEA annually measures its progress in meeting the *Williams* settlement requirements at 100% at all of its school sites, as applicable, and promptly addresses any complaints or other deficiencies identified throughout the academic year, as applicable; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and to reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

The LEA annually measures its progress implementing state academic standards; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

The LEA annually measures its progress in: (1) seeking input from parents in decision making and (2) promoting parental participation in programs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

The LEA administers a local climate survey at least every other year that provides a valid measure of perceptions of school safety and connectedness, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, to students in at least one grade within the grade span(s) that the LEA serves (e.g., K-5, 6-8, 9-12), and reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting of the local governing board and to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

The LEA annually measures its progress in the extent to which students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study that includes the adopted courses of study specified in the California *Education Code (EC)* for Grades 1-6 and Grades 7-12, as applicable, including the programs and services developed and provided to unduplicated students and individuals with exceptional needs; the LEA then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Expelled Students – County Office of Education (COE) Only (LCFF Priority 9)

The county office of education (COE) annually measures its progress in coordinating instruction as required by California *EC* Section 48926; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Coordination of Services for Foster Youth – COE Only (LCFF Priority 10)

The COE annually measures its progress in coordinating services for foster youth; the COE then reports the results to its local governing board at a regularly scheduled meeting and reports to stakeholders and the public through the Dashboard.

Self-Reflection Tools

An LEA uses the self-reflection tools included within the Dashboard to report its progress on the local performance indicator to stakeholders and the public.

The self-reflection tools are embedded in the web-based Dashboard system and are also available in Word document format. In addition to using the self-reflection tools to report its progress on the local performance indicators to stakeholders and the public, an LEA may use the self-reflection tools as a resource when reporting results to its local governing board. The approved self-reflection tools are provided below.

Appropriately Assigned Teachers, Access to Curriculum-Aligned Instructional Materials, and Safe, Clean and Functional School Facilities (LCFF Priority 1)

LEAs will provide the information below:

- Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions
- Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home
- Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)

Note: The requested information are all data elements that are currently required as part of the School Accountability Report Card (SARC).

	Number/percentage of misassignments of teachers of ELs, total teacher misassignments, and vacant teacher positions	Number/percentage of students without access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home	Number of identified instances where facilities do not meet the “good repair” standard (including deficiencies and extreme deficiencies)	Date taken to local governing board:
Himalia	0	0	0 (Good)	2/23/21

Implementation of State Academic Standards (LCFF Priority 2)

LEAs may provide a narrative summary of their progress in the implementation of state academic standards based on locally selected measures or tools (Option 1). Alternatively, LEAs may complete the *optional* reflection tool (Option 2).

OPTION 2: Reflection Tool

Recently Adopted Academic Standards and/or Curriculum Frameworks

- Rate the LEA’s progress in providing professional learning for teaching to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below.**
Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA				4	
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)				4	
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics				4	
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science			3		

- Rate the LEA’s progress in making instructional materials that are aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below available in all classrooms where the subject is taught.**
Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards					5
History-Social Science					5

3. **Rate the LEA’s progress in implementing policies or programs to support staff in identifying areas where they can improve in delivering instruction aligned to the recently adopted academic standards and/or curriculum frameworks identified below (e.g., collaborative time, focused classroom walkthroughs, teacher pairing).**

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
ELA – Common Core State Standards for ELA					5
ELD (Aligned to ELA Standards)					5
Mathematics – Common Core State Standards for Mathematics					5
Next Generation Science Standards				4	
History-Social Science			3		

Other Adopted Academic Standards

4. **Rate the LEA’s progress implementing each of the following academic standards adopted by the state board for all students.**

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Academic Standards	1	2	3	4	5
Career Technical Education				4	
Health Education Content Standards				4	
Physical Education Model Content Standards				4	
Visual and Performing Arts					5
World Language				4	

Support for Teachers and Administrators

5. **Rate the LEA’s success at engaging in the following activities with teachers and school administrators during the prior school year (including the summer preceding the prior school year).**

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Activities	1	2	3	4	5
Identifying the professional learning needs of groups of teachers or staff as a whole				4	
Identifying the professional learning needs of individual teachers				4	
Providing support for teachers on the standards they have not yet mastered				4	

Optional Narrative (Limited to 1,500 characters)

6. **Provide any additional information in the text box provided in the Dashboard that the LEA believes is relevant to understanding its progress implementing the academic standards adopted by the state board.**

The LEA continues to implement instruction and utilize materials and curriculum that are aligned to the adopted state standards and curriculum frameworks. The recent adoption of History/Social-Science curriculum will be followed by and increase in professional learning as well as a focus on improving delivery of instruction within this subject.

Parent and Family Engagement (LCFF Priority 3)

This self-reflection tool is organized into three sections. Each section includes promising practices in family engagement:

1. Building Relationships between School Staff and Families
2. Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes
3. Seeking Input for Decision-making

LEAs use this self-reflection tool to reflect on its progress, successes, needs and areas of growth in family engagement policies, programs, and practices. This tool will enable an LEA to engage in continuous improvement and determine next steps to make improvements in the areas identified.

The results of the process should be used to inform the LCAP and the development process, to assess prior year goals, actions and services as well as to plan or modify future goals, actions, and services in the LCAP.

For each statement in the table below:

1. Identify the diverse stakeholders that need to participate in the self-reflection process in order to ensure input from all groups of families, staff and students in the LEA, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
2. Engage stakeholders in determining what data and information will be considered to complete the self-reflection tool. LEAs should consider how the practices apply to families of all student groups, including families of unduplicated students and families of individuals with exceptional needs as well as families of underrepresented students.
3. Based on the analysis of data, identify the number which best indicates the LEA's current stage of implementation for each practice using the following rating scale (lowest to highest):
 - 1 – Exploration and Research Phase
 - 2 – Beginning Development
 - 3 – Initial Implementation
 - 4 – Full Implementation
 - 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability
4. Write a brief response to the prompts following each of the three sections.
5. Use the information from the self-reflection process to inform the LCAP and the LCAP development process, as well as the development of other school and district plans.

Building Relationships

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Relationships	1	2	3	4	5
1. Rate the LEA’s progress in developing the capacity of staff (i.e. administrators, teachers, and classified staff) to build trusting and respectful relationships with families.				4	
2. Rate the LEA’s progress in creating welcoming environments for all families in the community.					5
3. Rate the LEA’s progress in supporting staff to learn about each family’s strengths, cultures, languages, and goals for their children.				4	
4. Rate the LEA’s progress in developing multiple opportunities for the LEA and school sites to engage in 2-way communication between families and educators using language that is understandable and accessible to families.					5

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA’s current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

ISANA Himalia believes that successful collaborative relationships with families have a positive impact on student learning and achievement. School-Family partnerships are a focus from the beginning of the year. Teachers reach out to all parents and families before the start of the school year to welcome them back or to welcome them for the first time. The school holds a Meet and Greet so that families and students can visit the school and classrooms and students can meet their new teachers. Throughout the year, the school hosts several other events including workshops focused on academic and social-emotional learning as well Performing Arts Showcases. Throughout the year, families have several opportunities to engage in conversations with teachers regarding their child’s progress, strengths, and areas of need. Meetings with families and parents

are translated when needed, as is written communication. One area for improvement is to continue to work to increase attendance at parent meetings so that all parents and families receive key information. We continue to speak with and survey families to find the days and times that work best for them so that families can participate as much as possible in the decision-making process. In 20-21, all of our parent meetings were virtual and we found that we had an increase of parent attendance at these meetings. We want to continue this trend and so we will continue to provide, when possible, virtual access to parent meetings.

Building Partnerships for Student Outcomes

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Building Partnerships	1	2	3	4	5
5. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing professional learning and support to teachers and principals to improve a school’s capacity to partner with families.				4	
6. Rate the LEA’s progress in providing families with information and resources to support student learning and development in the home.				4	
7. Rate the LEA’s progress in implementing policies or programs for teachers to meet with families and students to discuss student progress and ways to work together to support improved student outcomes.					5
8. Rate the LEA’s progress in supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students.				4	

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA’s current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

Families have several opportunities in the school year to meet with teachers and discuss student progress. Parent conferences, at which teachers discuss individual student performance and goals, are scheduled for twice a year but parents and families are welcome to schedule a meeting with their child’s teacher at any time in the year. Additionally, teacher email and phone contact information are shared at the beginning of the year; parents and families are also welcomed to visit the school and classroom. Throughout the year, the school hosts parent workshops on both social-emotional and academic topics at which they receive knowledge and resources to help them support student learning at home. With regards to supporting families to understand and exercise their legal rights and advocate for their own students and all students, IEP rights are provided to parents at the beginning of the school year and with every IEP meeting invitation. Also, at the beginning of every IEP meeting, the IEP team facilitator confirms if the IEP rights were received. In addition, the Parent Handbook with communication rights are provided at the beginning of the school year and are made available at the front office to all parents in both English and Spanish. One area we continue to work on is providing professional learning and support to teachers and school leaders to improve their capacity to partner with families. In particular, we want to increase the staff’s understanding of families’ backgrounds and cultures so that we are communicating with each family in the most effective way possible. We also continue to work on coordinating resources and services for families and students with community groups including businesses, agencies, high schools and colleges/universities.

Seeking Input for Decision Making

Rating Scale (lowest to highest): 1 – Exploration and Research Phase; 2 – Beginning Development; 3 – Initial Implementation; 4 – Full Implementation; 5 – Full Implementation and Sustainability

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
9. Rate the LEA’s progress in building the capacity of and supporting principals and staff to effectively engage families in advisory groups and with decision-making.				4	
10. Rate the LEA’s progress in building the capacity of and supporting family members to effectively engage in advisory groups and decision-making.				4	

Seeking Input	1	2	3	4	5
11. Rate the LEA's progress in providing all families with opportunities to provide input on policies and programs, and implementing strategies to reach and seek input from any underrepresented groups in the school community.				4	
12. Rate the LEA's progress in providing opportunities to have families, teachers, principals, and district administrators work together to plan, design, implement and evaluate family engagement activities at school and district levels.				4	

Dashboard Narrative Box (Limited to 3,000 characters)

Briefly describe the LEA's current strengths and progress in this area, and identify a focus area for improvement, including how the LEA will improve the engagement of underrepresented families.

The school works with leadership and staff to discuss the purpose of advisory groups and committees, topics to cover and ways to empower families to be active participants in advisory groups and decision making. Opportunities to provide input on policies and programs are provided to all families through meetings such as general parent meetings, school councils and committees. We continue to work on working with parents and families directly to understand their role in decision making and school governance as well as to increase the quantity of families that engage in decision making and input giving opportunities. As mentioned in the previous section, we will continue to offer the opportunity for parents and families to participate virtually in advisory, committee and other parent meetings.

School Climate (LCFF Priority 6)

LEAs will provide a narrative summary of the local administration and analysis of a local climate survey that captures a valid measure of student perceptions of school safety and connectedness in at least one grade within the grade span (e.g., K-5, 6- 8, 9-12) in a text box provided in the California School Dashboard (response limited to 3,000 characters). LEAs will have an opportunity to include differences among student groups, and for surveys that provide an overall score, such as the California Healthy Kids Survey, report the overall score for all students and student groups. This summary may also include an analysis of a subset of specific items on a local survey and additional data collection tools that are particularly relevant to school conditions and climate.

1. **DATA:** Reflect on the key learnings from the survey results and share what the LEA learned.
2. **MEANING:** What do the disaggregated results (*if applicable*) of the survey and other data collection methods reveal about schools in the LEA, such as areas of strength or growth, challenges, and barriers?
3. **USE:** What revisions, decisions, or actions has, or will, the LEA implement in response to the results for continuous improvement purposes? Why? If you have already implemented actions, did you see the results you were seeking?

In Spring of 2021, ISANA Himalia Academy conducted a survey with its students which asked questions related to student perceptions of school safety and connectedness. Students from different grades and student groups were surveyed. The school had an increase in the number of students who took the survey compared to the year before. An analysis is below. Students rated the school on a 1-5 scale (1 being strongly disagree, 5 being strongly agree). Three questions included the following, “I feel welcome at my school,” “I feel safe at my school” and “I feel like people care about me at my school.” The minimum criteria we set was a 3/5. As can be seen below, the school scored well above that minimum threshold:

Average Scores:

1. I feel welcome at my school: Refer to chart
2. I feel safe at my school: Refer to chart
3. I feel like people care about me at my school: Refer to chart
4. Overall Average: Refer to chart

This is the chart we used for the answer above:

	I feel welcome at my school.	I feel safe at my school.	I feel like people care about me at my school	Average
Himalia	4.31	4.33	4.23	4.29

Access to a Broad Course of Study (LCFF Priority 7)

LEAs provide a narrative summary of the extent to which all students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study by addressing, at a minimum, the following four prompts:

1. Briefly identify the locally selected measures or tools that the LEA is using to track the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study, based on grade spans, unduplicated student groups, and individuals with exceptional needs served. (response limited to 1,500 characters)

The LEA uses the Student Information System PowerSchool to ensure that all students are enrolled in a Broad Course of Study.

2. Using the locally selected measures or tools, summarize the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. The summary should identify any differences across school sites and student groups in access to, and enrollment in, a broad course of study, and may describe progress over time in the extent to which all students have access to, and are enrolled in, a broad course of study. (response limited to 1,500 characters)

All Students have access to and are enrolled in a broad course of study because all students are enrolled in all classes.

3. Given the results of the tool or locally selected measures, identify the barriers preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students. (response limited to 1,500 characters)

No barriers were identified as preventing the LEA from providing access to a broad course of study for all students, as all students are enrolled in all classes.

4. In response to the results of the tool or locally selected measures, what revisions, decisions, or new actions will the LEA implement, or has the LEA implemented, to ensure access to a broad course of study for all students? (response limited to 1,500 characters)

The LEA will continue to monitor the Student Information System to ensure that all students are enrolled in a broad course of study.